



26831

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Laursen et al.

Attorney Docket No.:
UWP1P036C2/1014C2

Application No.: 09/410,859

Examiner: Cumming, William D.

Filed: October 1, 1999

Group: 2683

Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR
ACCESSING A COMMON DATABASE FROM
A MOBILE DEVICE AND A COMPUTING
DEVICE

RECEIVED

SEP 14 2004

Technology Center 2600

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail on September 2, 2004 in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signed: _____

Kristina Gomez

RESPONSE D

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated August 3, 2004, please consider the following remarks.

REMARKS

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 32-48 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,233,608. To obviate the obviousness-type double patenting rejection, submitted together herewith is a Terminal Disclaimer with reference to U.S. Patent No. 6,233,608. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of claims 32-48 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting. However, since the rejection is rendered moot by the submission of the Terminal Disclaimer, it should be noted that the Applicants do not admit, acquiesce, or otherwise agree with the Examiner's rejection.